

Extrinsically flat static spacetimes

O. B. Zaslavskii

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics,

Kharkov V.N.Karazin National University,

*Svoboda Square 4, Kharkov 61077, Ukraine**

We consider static spacetimes whose spatial part admits foliations with the extrinsic curvature tensor $K_{ab} = 0$. There are two complementary cases when the gradient of the lapse function points 1) to the direction of foliation or 2) orthogonally to it. Case 1) gives generalization of metrics like Bertotti-Robinson or Nariai. In case 2) the matter source violates the null energy condition at least on the part of the manifold, having in this sense phantom nature. We also demonstrate that for the manifolds under discussion the horizon can be naked in the sense that certain Weyl components diverge in the free-falling frame although the Kretschmann scalar is finite. The Petrov type is D or O. Explicit solutions for (i) the linear anisotropic equation of state, (ii) Chaplygin gas and (iii) uniform energy density are found.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Jb, 04.60.Kz, 04.20-q

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past decade, spacetimes which represent direct product of the two-dimensional Lorentzian manifold (typically, adS, dS or Rindler) with a sphere of a constant radius attract special interest. This happens, in particular, in the context of string theory and adS/CFT correspondence [1], [2]. In black hole physics they appear as a result of some limiting transition from the non-extremal state to the extremal one [3], [4]. Such a procedure can be applied to a generic static black hole spacetime [5] including, for example, C-metric [6]. Similar geometries occur also in non-linear electrodynamics [7], problems with string dust [8], higher-dimensional [9] - [12] or 2+1 dimensional spacetimes [14] - [16], dilaton-axion

*Electronic address: ozaslav@kharkov.ua

gravity [13], etc.

Formally, such spacetimes can be obtained if in the generic form of the static spherically-symmetric line element one puts the value of the areal radius $r = r_0 = \text{const}$. The famous examples are Bertotti-Robinson (BR) [17] and Nariai [18] spacetimes. These geometries were discussed recently for constructing composite regular spacetimes [19] which are glued with the metric of a black hole, wormhole or gravastar [20] outside. Meanwhile, a natural question arises, whether one can enumerate all possible metrics of this structure without the special assumption about the symmetry of the spatial geometry. Mathematically, this means that one should put for the extrinsic curvature tensor $K_{ab} = 0$ for some form of embedding the two-dimensional surface which is not necessarily a sphere (see details below). Metrics of such a kind (called extrinsically flat) are encountered, for instance, in wormhole physics as tube-like geometries [21], [22], [23]. It has been also pointed out that consistent boundary conditions for supergravity require vanishing extrinsic curvature of the boundary [24].

There is one more aspect connected with spacetimes under discussion that deserves attention by itself. For the BR spacetime the longitudinal pressure $p_{\parallel} = -\rho$, where ρ is the energy density. Thus, the null-energy condition (NEC) is satisfied on the verge. We will see below that in general there are also extrinsically flat metrics with $p_{\parallel} + \rho < 0$. This is nothing else than so-called phantom energy. Recently, it focused much attention because of qualitatively new features in cosmology where it drives acceleration of Universe [25], [26]. However, it is of interest also in wormhole physics where violation of NEC is necessary condition for the existence of traversable wormholes [27], [28]. Recently, explicit examples of wormholes supported of such a kind of matter were analyzed numerically and analytically for anisotropic phantom energy [29] - [31]. In this sense, the present work extends the class of such objects and suggests some exact static solutions with anisotropic phantom energy.

II. 2+1+1 DECOMPOSITION OF EINSTEIN EQUATIONS

In the Gauss normal coordinates any static metric can be written in the form

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 N^2 + dn^2 + \gamma_{ab} dx^a dx^b, \quad (1)$$

where $x^1 = n$, $a = 2, 3$. We will exploit the convenient representation of the curvature tensor based on 2+1+1 decomposition of the metric [32], [33] that extensively uses the notion the

extrinsic curvature tensor K_{ab} for the surface $t = \text{const}$, $n = \text{const}$ embedded in the outer three-space. For our metric (1)

$$K_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \gamma_{ab}}{\partial n}. \quad (2)$$

In what follows we will not list the complete formulas for the Einstein tensor (a reader can found them in [32], [33]) but take into account at once their simplified form in which we put $K_{ab} = 0$ from the very beginning:

$$^{(3+1)}G_{ab} = -\frac{N_{;a;b}}{N} + \gamma_{ab} \left(\frac{\Delta_2 N}{N} + \frac{N''}{N} \right), \quad (3)$$

$$G_{na} = -\frac{\partial_n N_{;a}}{N}, \quad (4)$$

$$G_{nn} = -\frac{1}{2} R_{\parallel} + \frac{\Delta_2 N}{N}, \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{G_{00}}{N^2} = \frac{1}{2} R_{\parallel}, \quad (6)$$

prime denotes differentiation with respect to n , quantities $N_{;b;d}$ correspond to covariant derivatives with respect to the two-metric γ_{ab} , Δ_2 is the corresponding Laplacian, $R_{\parallel}$ represents the two-dimensional Ricci scalar for the surface $t = \text{const}$, $n = \text{const}$, $R_{\perp} = -2N^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial n^2}$ is the similar quantity for the $n - t$ subspace. We also suppose that our stress-energy tensor has the form

$$T_{\mu}^{\nu} = \text{diag}(-\rho, p_{\parallel}, p_{\perp}, p_{\perp}), \quad (7)$$

where $p_{\parallel}$ and $p_{\perp}$ are the longitudinal and transversal pressure, respectively, and ρ is the energy density.

Let $K_{ab} = 0$ in some region, so according to (2) the two-dimensional metric $\gamma_{ab} = \gamma_{ab}(x^2, x^3)$ does not depend on n . It follows from eq. (4) that $\frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial n \partial x^a} = 0$, whence

$$N = N_1(n) + N_2(x^a). \quad (8)$$

For simplicity, we consider separately in detail two cases when only either first or second term in (8) is present. More general case, when both terms are non-vanishing, is discussed briefly in Sec. X.

III. CLASS 1.

Let $N = N_1(n)$, $N_2(x^a) = 0$. Then it follows from eqs. (3) - (6) that

$$\rho + p_{\parallel} = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}R_{\parallel} = 8\pi\rho, \quad (10)$$

$$-\frac{R_{\perp}}{2} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{d^2 N}{dn^2} = 8\pi p_{\perp}. \quad (11)$$

In the case under discussion the transversal pressure $p_{\perp}$ depends on n only, while the longitudinal pressure $p_{\parallel}$ and energy density depend on x^2 , x^3 only. In the particular case $p_{\perp} = \text{const}$ the situation simplifies further. Depending on the sign of $p_{\perp}$, we have three different subcases (up to the freedom in the normalization of N).

A) $\lambda \equiv 8\kappa p_{\perp} = \kappa^2 > 0$. Then a) $N = \sinh \kappa n$, b) $N = \cosh \kappa n$, c) $N = \exp(\kappa n)$. Thus, we obtain generalization of the BR [17] spacetime, the $t - n$ part of the metric being the two-dimensional (2D) adS spacetime.

B) $\lambda = -\kappa^2 < 0$. Then $N = \sin \kappa n$, we have generalization of the Nariai spacetime [18], the $t - n$ part of the metric being the 2D dS spacetime.

C) $p_{\perp} = 0$. Then a) $N = n$ (2D Rindler metric), b) $N = 1$ (2D Minkowski metric).

All three subcases A) - C) represent direct generalization of the metric

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + dn^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \quad (12)$$

with $r = r_0 = \text{const}$ to the case with an arbitrary $\gamma_{ab}(x^2, x^3)$.

The positivity of the energy density entails from (9), (10) that $R_{\parallel} > 0$ and, thus, the 2D manifold x^2, x^3 is convex.

IV. CLASS 2

Let $N = N(x^2, x^3)$. Then it follows from Einstein equations (3) - (6) that eq. (10) holds and, apart from this,

$$\frac{\Delta_2 N}{N} - \frac{1}{2}R_{\parallel} = 8\pi p_{\parallel}, \quad (13)$$

$$-\frac{N_{;a;b}}{N} + \gamma_{ab} \frac{\Delta_2 N}{N} = 8\pi p_{\perp} \gamma_{ab}. \quad (14)$$

From (10) and (13) - (14) we have

$$\frac{\Delta_2 N}{N} = 8\pi(\rho + p_{\parallel}) = 16\pi p_{\perp}, \quad (15)$$

whence

$$\rho + p_{\parallel} - 2p_{\perp} = 0. \quad (16)$$

In the isotropic case $p_{\parallel} = p_{\perp} = p$ we obtain the perfect stiff fluid but, in general, we assume that pressures $p_{\parallel}$ and $p_{\perp}$ do not coincide. By substitution of (15) into (14) we obtain

$$\frac{N_{;a;b}}{N} = \lambda \gamma_{ab}, \quad (17)$$

$$\lambda \equiv 8\pi p_{\perp} = \frac{\Delta_2 N}{2N}. \quad (18)$$

It is worth noting that equations (17), (18) entail that the regularity of the four-dimensional (4D) geometry is encoded in the finiteness of the quantity λ . Indeed, the Kretschmann scalar

$$Kr = R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = P_{ijkl}P^{ijkl} + 4\frac{N_{i|j}N^{i|j}}{N^2}, \quad (19)$$

$R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ is the 3+1 Riemann tensor, P_{ijkl} is the curvature tensor of the hypersurface $t = \text{const}$, $N_{i|j}$ denotes covariant derivatives with respect to the three-metric on it. Now only terms with $i, j = a, b$ survive in the second term in (19). Taking into account (17) we see that $Kr = P_{ijkl}P^{ijkl} + 8\lambda^2$. As the first term in Kr refers to the three-dimensional positively defined metric, it is positive by itself, so we have two positive (or, at least, non-negative) terms, each of them should be finite if we want the finiteness of Kr .

It follows from eq. (17) that the vector $\xi_a \equiv N_{;a}$ satisfies the conformal Killing equation

$$\xi_{(a;b)} = \frac{1}{2}\xi_{;a}^a \gamma_{ab}. \quad (20)$$

If we define the new vector

$$\eta_a \equiv \varepsilon_{ac}\xi^c, \quad (21)$$

where $\varepsilon_{ac} = \sqrt{\gamma}e_{ac}$, e_{ac} is the absolutely antisymmetric symbol ($e_{01} = 1$), we obtain

$$\eta_{a;b} + \eta_{b;a} = 0. \quad (22)$$

Thus, the vector η_a is the Killing one. It is seen from (21) that $\eta_a \xi^a = 0$. Thus, the Killing vector is pointed orthogonally to the gradient of N and, therefore, along the lines of the constant N . This prompts us to choose the convenient coordinate system in such a way that the lines of constant N be functions of x alone, while the Killing vector $\eta = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$. Then, using the proper length as a coordinate, we have for the metric of $x - \phi$ manifold

$$ds_2^2 = dx^2 + r^2(x)d\phi^2, \quad (23)$$

so that our 4D metric can be written in the explicitly axially-symmetric form:

$$ds^2 = -N^2(x)dt^2 + dn^2 + dx^2 + r^2(x)d\phi^2. \quad (24)$$

Thus, the metric turns out to be homogeneous in n direction and is symmetric under rotation in ϕ direction.

We suppose that the condition $\rho > 0$ is satisfied, so that according to (10) $R_{||} > 0$ everywhere as well as it happens to class 1 metrics. Thus, the 2D $x - \phi$ manifold is convex everywhere. We discuss separately two cases: the manifold is (i) compact and simply connected, (ii) non-compact.

V. COMPACT 2D MANIFOLD: PHANTOM NATURE OF SOURCE AND PROPERTIES OF HORIZON

The variable x changes in the finite range $0 \leq x \leq x_1$. It is also convenient to normalize ϕ as usual, $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$. The function r should ensure the regularity of the metric near the north and south poles, whence

$$r = x, x \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } r = x_1 - x \text{ at } x \rightarrow x_1, \quad (25)$$

where for definiteness we assume that $r > 0$. If r has additional zeros at $0 < x < x_1$, this would simply mean that we are faced with the case when our construction is obtained by gluing chain of ball-like manifolds, with the south pole of the n -th copy coinciding with the north pole of the $n+1$ north one. For simplicity, we discuss properties of a single manifold only and assume that the function $r(x)$ has a definite sign inside the interval $(0, x_1)$.

The scalar curvature for the metric (23) is equal to

$$R_{||} = -2\frac{r''}{r}, \quad (26)$$

prime denotes differentiation with respect to x . It follows from (10) and (26) that

$$\frac{r''}{r} = -8\pi\rho. \quad (27)$$

The fact that $R_{||} > 0$ restricts the behavior of $r(x)$ in the following way. As we chose $r > 0$ inside the interval $0 < x < x_1$, we have $r'' < 0$ there. As $r = 0$ at $x = 0$ and $x = x_1$, its derivative changes sign at some x_0 where $r'(x_0) = 0$. Because of $r'' < 0$ the derivative r' may vanish only in one point, so there exists only one root of equation $r'(x_0) = 0$.

One can see that $x\phi$ equation from the set (17) holds identically for the metric (23), while $\phi\phi$ and xx ones give us after simple manipulations that

$$N = 2\pi c \int^x dxr = c(A + A_0), c = const, A_0 = const. \quad (28)$$

$$\lambda = \frac{r'}{\int_0^x dxr + N_0}, N_0 = \frac{A_0}{2\pi}, \quad (29)$$

now prime means differentiation with respect to x . The quantity $A > 0$ represents the area of the region between the north pole and the line $N(x) = const$. In what follows we normalize the lapse function in such a way that $c = \frac{1}{2\pi}$.

If the function $r(x)$ is known, so that the 2D metric γ_{ab} is fixed, one can recover from eqs. (10), (16), (28) and (29) all the rest of information: the lapse function $N(x)$, both pressures $p_{||}$ and $p_{\perp}$ and the energy density ρ . Alternatively, one can define T_{μ}^{ν} (7) with the equation of state, say, $p_{\perp} = p_{\perp}(\rho)$ supplemented by eq. (16). Then one has to solve one equation in which $p_{\perp}$ is defined according to eq. (29) and ρ is defined according to eqs. (10), (26). Afterwards, the lapse function can be found from (28). Eq. (29) can be rewritten in the form of the second order differential equation

$$N'' - \lambda N = 0, \quad (30)$$

where

$$N = \int_0^x dxr + N_0, N_0 = N(0), r = N', \quad (31)$$

This equation is supplemented by the conditions $N''(0) = 1$ and $N''(x_1) = -1$ as it follows from (25). Hence, $N(0) = \lambda^{-1}(0)$ and $N(x_1) = -\lambda^{-1}(x_1)$.

One can rescale the coordinate according to $x = x(y)$ and obtain in (23) $ds_2^2 = \chi^2(dy^2 + C^2d\phi^2)$, where $\chi = \frac{dx}{dy}$, $C(y) = \frac{r(x(y))}{\chi(y)}$. Choosing y in such a way that $\frac{dy}{\sin \frac{y}{r_0}} = \frac{r_0 dx}{r}$, $\chi \equiv e^{\omega}$, $y \equiv r_0\theta$ (r_0 is a constant of dimensionality of length), we obtain

$$ds_2^2 = r_0^2 e^{2\omega(\theta)} (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2). \quad (32)$$

It is written in the explicitly axially symmetric form and is conformal to the metric on the unit sphere. Then expressions (28), (29) for N and λ can be rewritten as

$$N = r_0^2 \int d\theta \exp(2\omega) \sin \theta, \quad (33)$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\cos \theta + \omega' \sin \theta}{N}. \quad (34)$$

Let us now discuss some properties of the solution. If we want regularity, either a) $N_0 > 0$ (then $N > 0$ vanishes nowhere) or b) we must choose the constant N_0 in such a way that $N_0 = -\int_0^{x_0} r dx$,

$$N = \int_{x_0}^x dx r, \quad (35)$$

then the zero of the denominator in $\lambda = \frac{r'}{N}$ is compensated by the zero of the numerator. In doing so, $N(x_0) = 0$, so we have a Killing horizon at x_0 .

In case a) it follows from (29) that $\lambda > 0$ at $x < x_0$ (where the function $r(x)$ is monotonically increasing) and $\lambda < 0$ at $x > x_0$ (where $r(x)$ is monotonically decreasing). It follows from (16) that negative λ implies that $\rho + p_{\parallel} < 0$ and, thus, the NEC (null energy condition) is violated, so the matter is phantom on the part $x > x_0$ of the manifold.

Consider case b). Then, at $x < x_0$, $r' > 0$ but the denominator in (29) is negative. For $x > x_0$ the situation is reverse. At the point x_0 , exploiting the Lhopital's rule, we obtain that on the horizon

$$\lambda = \frac{r''(x_0)}{r(x_0)} < 0. \quad (36)$$

Thus, the matter is phantom everywhere.

Taking into account (27) we see that at the horizon itself

$$p_{\perp} = -\rho, \quad p_{\parallel} = -3\rho. \quad (37)$$

.

The typical asymptotic behavior near the horizon

$$N = \kappa_H n + \frac{\kappa_2}{3!} (x^2, x^3) n^3 + \dots, \quad (38)$$

where $\kappa_H = \text{const}$ is the surface gravity [33] does not hold literally since N does not depend on n at all but its counterpart is valid if the variable n is replaced by x :

$$N = r(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{r''(x_0)}{6} (x - x_0)^3 + \dots, \quad \kappa = r(x_0), \quad (39)$$

it is assumed that the lapse function can be expanded in the Taylor series. In a similar way, the equality $G_n^n - G_0^0 = 0$ typical of the non-extremal regular horizon [33] is replaced now by $G_x^x - G_0^0 = 8\pi(p_\perp + \rho) = 0$ on the horizon.

It is instructive to consider the motion of particles along geodesics on the surface $n = \text{const}$, when the horizon is present. Introducing the four-velocity of an observer $u^\mu = \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau}$ we have

$E = -u_0$, $u^0 = \frac{E}{N^2}$ and it follows from the condition $u^\mu u_\mu = -1$ for time-like geodesics that

$$(u^x)^2 - \frac{E^2}{N^2} = -1, \quad (40)$$

whence

$$u^x = \frac{1}{|N|} \sqrt{E^2 - N^2}, \quad (41)$$

so that

$$u^\mu = \left(\frac{\cosh s}{|N|}, 0, \sinh s, 0 \right), \quad \cosh s = \frac{E}{|N|}. \quad (42)$$

Supposing that a particle moves with increasing x , we choose $u^x > 0$. It follows from (29) that

$$\dot{r} = \frac{dr}{d\tau} = -\lambda \sqrt{E^2 - N^2}, \quad (43)$$

where we took into account that according to (35) $N < 0$ for $x < x_0$. As $\lambda < 0$, $\dot{r} > 0$ (except, possibly, the horizon itself where $\rho = \lambda = 0$ is allowed - see next section). Thus, a particle crosses the horizon with increasing the radial distance. This means that the coordinate frame (24) that is suitable in the region $r \leq r(x_0)$ containing the origin, does not cover the total manifold and one is forced to introduce Kruskal-like coordinates in a standard way. Actually, the situation is quite similar to what happens in the case of de Sitter metric and, thus, we have a cosmological horizon, the topology of (t, r, ϕ) submanifold being RxS^2 .

VI. EXPLICIT EXAMPLES. COMPACT 2D MANIFOLDS

A. Linear equation of state

We will see in this section that, if the equation of state is known, the above formulas may admit, in principle, simple explicit solutions. We restrict ourselves by a physically important

case of the linear connection between the pressure and density. In this section we discuss compact 2D manifolds. Let

$$p_{\perp} = -\alpha\rho. \quad (44)$$

As $p_{\perp}$ cannot be positive everywhere (see the previous section), we must choose $\alpha > 0$. Then $p_{\perp} < 0$ everywhere if $\rho > 0$ and, thus, there is a horizon. Then it follows from (16) that $p_{\parallel} = -(1 + 2\alpha)\rho$ and $p_{\parallel} + \rho = -2\alpha\rho < 0$. Using formulas (30), (10) we find the equation

$$\alpha \frac{r''}{r} = \frac{N''}{N}, \quad (45)$$

whence, taking into account that $r = N'$,

$$|N''| = N_1 |N|^{\gamma}, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{\alpha} > 0, \quad (46)$$

$N_1 > 0$ is a constant. It follows from (46) that in both regions $0 \leq x \leq x_0$ and $x \geq x_0$

$$Y'' = -N_1 Y^{\gamma}, \quad Y = |N|. \quad (47)$$

Eq.(47) describes a motion of a particle with the unit mass in the effective potential

$$U(Y) = \frac{N_1}{\gamma + 1} Y^{\gamma+1}, \quad (48)$$

whence

$$\frac{Y'^2}{2} + U(Y) = \varepsilon, \quad (49)$$

ε is the effective "energy". Its value can be found by putting $x = x_0$, then $Y = 0$ and $U = 0$, $\varepsilon = \frac{r_0^2}{2}$, $r_0 = r(x_0)$.

It follows from (49) that

$$N = \chi(y)\theta(x - x_0), \quad y = r^2, \quad \chi(y) = \left[\frac{(y_0 - y)(\gamma + 1)}{2N_1} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}}, \quad y_0 = y(x_0) = r_0^2, \quad (50)$$

$\theta(x - x_0)$ is the Heaviside step function. At x_0 $\chi = 0$, $N = 0$. Hence, remembering that $N' = r$, we find

$$x = -2 \int_0^r dr \frac{d\chi}{dy}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq x_0, \quad (51)$$

$$x = x_0 + 2 \int_{r_0}^r dr \frac{d\chi}{dy}, \quad x_0 \leq x \leq x_1, \quad (52)$$

where the signs are chosen in such a way that r grows from zero at the north pole to $r(x_0)$ and then diminishes to zero at the south pole. In general, these integrals are not given in

terms of elementary functions. However, one may use the quantity r instead of x to obtain an explicit expression for the metric

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + dn^2 + dr^2 f^2(r) + r^2 d\phi^2, \quad (53)$$

where $N^2 = N^2(x(r))$, $f(r) = \mp 2 \frac{d\chi}{dy}(y = r^2)$ and x is given by eqs. (51), (52). Substituting explicit expressions for χ and taking into account (28), (31) we obtain

$$N = \text{const}(r_0^2 - r^2)^\delta, \quad \delta = \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha}, \quad (54)$$

$$f = \frac{dx}{dr} = r_0^{2\beta} (r_0^2 - r^2)^{-\beta}, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha}, \quad (55)$$

$0 \leq r \leq r_0$ and the constant r_0 in f is chosen to respect the condition $f(0) = 1$ that follows from (25). Thus, we obtained exact solutions for equation of state (44).

If $\alpha = 1 = \gamma$, we obtain the metric on the sphere of a fixed radius, $r = r_0 \sin \frac{x}{r_0}$. Let now $\alpha \neq 1$. It follows from (36) that the regularity of the horizon requires that r'' be finite there. Calculating it from (55), one finds that at $r \rightarrow r_0$

$$r'' \sim (r_0^2 - r^2)^\sigma, \quad \sigma = \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 + \alpha}, \quad x - x_0 \sim (r_0^2 - r^2)^\delta. \quad (56)$$

The horizon is regular if $\alpha < 1$. Then $p_\perp + \rho = (1 - \alpha)\rho \geq 0$. Meanwhile, we remind that $p_\parallel + \rho = 2p_\perp < 0$. We see that the matter is phantom with respect to the pressure in n direction but not in x one. It follows from (27) that on the horizon itself $\rho \rightarrow 0$, $r'' \rightarrow 0$, $p_\perp \rightarrow 0$.

Near the horizon the lapse function behaves like

$$N = \kappa_H(x - x_0) + A(x - x_0)^q + \dots, \quad q = 2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} > 3. \quad (57)$$

where A is a constant. This asymptotics is more general than (38), (39) listed in [33] since it involves fractional powers. The condition ensuring the finiteness of (19) is satisfied since $\frac{N''}{N} \sim (x - x_0)^d$, $d = \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} > 0$.

B. Chaplygin equation of state

Another type of equation of state that attracts much attention in recent years is that of Chaplygin gas. It was exploited for modelling the dark energy in cosmology [34], [35] and

quite recently was also used in wormhole physics [36]. Now we apply it to the transversal pressure:

$$p_{\perp} = -\frac{A_0}{\rho}, \quad A_0 > 0, \quad (58)$$

Taking into account (30), (10), we obtain after integration:

$$(N'')^2 = A^2 N^2 + A_1, \quad (59)$$

where $A_1 = \text{const}$, $A^2 = (8\pi)^2 A_0$. Remembering that on the horizon $x = x_0$, $N = 0$, $N'' = 0$, we immediately see that $A_1 = 0$. Then, it follows from (59) that $r = \frac{\sin \omega x}{\omega}$, $\omega = \sqrt{A}$ and, thus, our 2D manifold is a sphere. In doing so, the energy density $\rho = \frac{\omega^2}{8\pi}$ and $p_{\perp} = -\frac{\omega^2}{8\pi}$ turn out to be constants. The metric in (t, x, ϕ) manifold is nothing else than de Sitter one, ρ plays the role of the cosmological constant.

C. Uniform density

We may take the energy density $\rho \equiv \frac{\omega^2}{8\pi}$ to be a constant from the very beginning. Then (10) gives us again $r = \frac{\sin \omega x}{\omega}$, so that we have a sphere of a constant radius $r_0 = \omega^{-1}$. Using $\theta = \omega x$, we obtain

$$N = a - \cos \theta, \quad \lambda = \frac{r_0^{-2} \cos \theta}{a - \cos \theta}, \quad (60)$$

$$8\pi\rho = r_0^{-2}, \quad 8\pi p_{\perp} = r_0^{-2} \frac{\cos \theta}{a - \cos \theta}, \quad 8\pi p_{\parallel} = r_0^{-2} \frac{3 \cos \theta - a}{a - \cos \theta}, \quad (61)$$

There are two possible subcases here.

a) $|a| > 1$, let for definiteness $a > 1$. Then $N > 0$ everywhere, λ changes the sign at $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. For $\theta > \frac{\pi}{2}$ the matter is phantom with respect to $p_{\parallel}$ ($\rho + p_{\parallel} = 2p_{\perp} < 0$) but $p_{\perp} + \rho = \frac{1}{8\pi r_0^2} \frac{a}{a - \cos \theta} > 0$. Thus, anisotropy is essential.

b) $|a| \leq 1$, $a = \cos \theta_0$. Then $N = 0$ at $\theta = \theta_0$, $\theta = 2\pi - \theta_0$, where λ in general diverges. Then the requirement of regularity allows only the value $\theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $a = 0$, whence

$$N = -\cos \theta, \quad \rho = \frac{1}{8\pi r_0^2}, \quad p_{\perp} = -\rho, \quad p_{\parallel} = -3p_{\perp}. \quad (62)$$

We saw that equation of state (62) holds on the horizon, now it is valid everywhere on the entire manifold, the 2+1 geometry is de Sitter one.

VII. NON-COMPACT 2D MANIFOLD

Now the variable x changes in the range $0 \leq x < \infty$. One can easily see that if $\rho > 0$, the derivative r' cannot change its sign. Indeed, let at some point x_0 $r'(x_0) = 0$. As, by assumption, $r > 0$, $r'' < 0$ this would mean that the function $r(x)$ would inevitably vanish at some finite x_1 and we would return to the previous case of a compact manifold in contradiction with the assumption. Thus, $r' > 0$ everywhere. In a similar way, this means that a non-compact manifold should have the origin where $r = 0$.

In turn, it follows from (29) that a regular horizon is impossible now. Indeed, on the horizon the denominator in λ diverges whereas the numerator r' does not vanish. As a result, it is incompatible with the finiteness of λ . As $r' > 0$ and N does not vanish, the quantity λ has the same sign everywhere. As $r' > 0$, $r > 0$, the integral $\int_0^x dx r > 0$ and diverges at $x \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, both the numerator and denominator in (29) are positive, so $\lambda > 0$ everywhere and the matter is not phantom.

This entails that the equation of state (44) cannot be implemented. Instead, one may try $p_\perp = \alpha\rho$ with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Let $\alpha < 1$. Now, the effective potential

$$U = \frac{N_1}{\gamma - 1} N^{1-\gamma}, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{\alpha} > 1, \quad N_1 > 0. \quad (63)$$

It is seen that U is a monotonically decreasing function, $U \rightarrow \infty$ at $N \rightarrow 0$ and $U \rightarrow 0$ at $N \rightarrow \infty$. It means that in eq. (49) $\varepsilon > 0$, $Y = N$ changes in the semi-infinite interval from the turning point to infinity, $N_0 \leq N < \infty$, $0 \leq r \leq r_0 = \sqrt{2\varepsilon}$. Repeating previous derivation, we find the metric in the form (53) - (55) with

$$\beta = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} > 1 \quad (64)$$

and

$$\delta = -\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}. \quad (65)$$

The explicit exact solutions under discussion coincide with those obtained earlier in [37], [38]. However, their counterparts (54), (55) corresponding to the cosmological horizons are not contained in these works where 2D manifolds were non-compact, while the solutions (54), (55) imply that the 2D manifold is compact. (To avoid confusion, it is worth noting that the statements in [37], [38] about finite proper volume actually refer to the space occupied by matter whereas the total volume is infinite.) Asymptotically, as $r \rightarrow r_0$, the metric of

our 2D manifold looks like a cylinder

$$ds_2^2 = dx^2 + r_0^2 d\phi^2 \quad (66)$$

in agreement with [38], the proper length $x = \int dr f \rightarrow \infty$.

The case $\alpha = 1$, according to (16), corresponds to stiff matter with $p_{\parallel} = p_{\perp} = \rho$. It gives rise to the potential $U = -N_1 \ln N$. Then

$$N = \exp\left(\frac{r^2}{r_1^2}\right), \quad (67)$$

$$f = \exp\left(\frac{r^2}{r_1^2}\right), \quad r_1 = \text{const}, \quad (68)$$

now $0 \leq r < \infty$. In this particular case the solution coincides with that obtained in [39].

For the non-compact case there is no sense to consider the Chaplygin equation of state since it implies the negative pressure, whereas, as is explained above, now $p_{\perp} > 0$. The energy density cannot be uniform since this would mean that $r \sim \sin \sqrt{8\pi\rho}x$ is bounded, whereas our 2D manifold should be non-compact by assumption.

VIII. PETROV TYPE

In this section, we determine the Petrov type of gravitation field which our spacetimes belong to.

A. Case 2

We discuss firstly class 2. To this end, we proceed in a standard way. The determination of the Petrov type is based on studying curvature invariants constructed from so-called Weyl scalars obtained by the contraction of the Weyl tensor with components of some complex null tetrad (see, e.g. [40]). It is convenient to construct this tetrad from an usual orthonormal frame $u^{\mu}, e^{\mu}, a^{\mu}, b^{\mu}$, where u^{μ} is a four-velocity of an observer, e^{μ} is a vector aligned along the n -direction, a^{μ} and b^{μ} lie in the $x^2 - x^3$ subspace. We define

$$l^{\mu} = \frac{u^{\mu} + e^{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad n^{\mu} = \frac{u^{\mu} - e^{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad m^{\mu} = \frac{a^{\mu} + ib^{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \bar{m}^{\mu} = \frac{a^{\mu} - ib^{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (69)$$

(bar denotes complex conjugate). Now $l^\mu n_\mu = -1$, $m^a \bar{m}_a = 1$, all other contractions vanish. We define the Weyl scalars according to

$$\psi_0 = C_{s\beta\gamma\delta} l^s m^\beta l^\gamma m^\delta, \psi_1 = C_{s\beta\gamma\delta} l^s m^\beta l^\gamma n^\delta, \psi_2 = -C_{s\beta\gamma\delta} l^s m^\beta n^\gamma \bar{m}^\delta, \quad (70)$$

$$\psi_3 = C_{s\beta\gamma\delta} l^s n^\beta \bar{m}^\gamma n^\delta, \psi_4 = C_{s\beta\gamma\delta} n^s \bar{m}^\beta n^\gamma \bar{m}^\delta, \quad (71)$$

$C_{s\beta\gamma\delta}$ is the Weyl tensor. One reservation is in order. It was shown in [41] that in the horizon limit the Petrov type may be different in the static frame and the one attached to a free falling observer. Correspondingly, we will distinct these both frames (not only on the horizon). In the first case $u^\mu = (|N|^{-1}, 0, 0, 0)$ and $e^\mu = (0, 1, 0, 0)$, so that

$$l^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{|N|}, 1, 0, 0 \right), n^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{|N|}, -1, 0, 0 \right), m^\mu = (0, 0, m^a). \quad (72)$$

Eq. (42) can be rewritten in the form of a local Lorentz boost along the x-direction: $u^\mu = u^{\mu(0)} \cosh s + a^{\mu(0)} \sinh s$, $a^\mu = a^{\mu(0)} \cosh s + u^{\mu(0)} \sinh s$, where " $^{(0)}$ " refers to the static observer and $a^{\mu(0)} = (0, 0, 1, 0)$, $m^\mu = \frac{a^\mu + ib^\mu}{\sqrt{2}}$, $b^\mu = (0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{r})$. Then $l^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\cosh s}{|N|}, 1, \sinh s, 0 \right)$, $n^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\cosh s}{|N|}, -1, \sinh s, 0 \right)$, $m^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\sinh s}{|N|}, 0, \cosh s, \frac{i}{r} \right)$.

For our metric non-vanishing components of the Weyl tensor

$$C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - R_{\gamma[\alpha} g_{\beta]\delta} + R_{\delta[\alpha} g_{\beta]\gamma} + \frac{R}{3} g_{\gamma[\alpha} g_{\beta]\delta} \quad (73)$$

read

$$C_{0b0d} = N^2 \frac{B}{12} \gamma_{bd}, C_{1b1d} = -\frac{B}{12} \gamma_{bd}, B = R_{||} + 2\lambda, \quad (74)$$

$$C_{abcd} = \frac{B}{6} (\gamma_{ac} \gamma_{bd} - \gamma_{ad} \gamma_{bc}), C_{0101} = -\frac{N^2}{6} B. \quad (75)$$

Using eq. (10), one can rewrite the value of B in the form

$$B = 16\pi(\rho + p_\perp). \quad (76)$$

Then straightforward calculation gives us

$$\psi_0 = -\frac{B \sinh^2 s}{8} = \psi_4, \psi_1 = -\frac{B \cosh s \sinh s}{8} = \psi_3, \psi_2 = -\frac{B}{12} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \sinh^2 s \right). \quad (77)$$

Determination of the Petrov type is based on studying curvature invariants I, J and coefficients K, L, N , in certain covariants (see chapter 9.3 in [40]), constructed from Weyl scalars:

$$I \equiv \psi_0 \psi_4 - 4\psi_1 \psi_3 + 3\psi_2^2, \quad (78)$$

$$J = \det \begin{pmatrix} \psi_4 & \psi_3 & \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 & \psi_2 & \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 & \psi_1 & \psi_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (79)$$

$$K = \psi_1\psi_4^2 - 3\psi_2\psi_3\psi_4 + 2\psi_3^3, \quad L = \psi_2\psi_4 - \psi_3^2, \quad N = 12L^2 - \psi_4^2I. \quad (80)$$

One can find that in our case $I = \frac{B^2}{48}$,

$$I^3 = 27J^2, \quad (81)$$

$$N = K = 0. \quad (82)$$

According to the general scheme of classification [40], it means that there are only two possible cases. 1) $B \neq 0$. Then $\psi_2 \neq 0$, $I \neq 0$ and we have type D, 2) $B = 0 = I = \psi_2$, then we have type O.

It is easy to obtain the condition when the type is O for the entire manifold. We saw that for the non-compact case $\lambda > 0$, so B cannot vanish since $R_{||} > 0$ because of the condition $\rho > 0$. It remains to consider the compact case only. In doing so, we must restrict ourselves to the presence of the horizon since, as we saw, otherwise $\lambda > 0$ on the part of manifold, so $B > 0$ there in contradiction with the assumption. Using (26), (18) we have $N'N'' = N'''N$. As $r \neq 0$, the function N is not identically constant. Direct integration with the condition $N = 0 = N''$ on the horizon gives us

$$N'' = \pm c^2 N, \quad c = \text{const}. \quad (83)$$

With the "+" sign we have, taking into account the boundary condition (25) at $x = 0$, that $r \sim \sinh cx$. However, eq. (10) then gives us $\rho < 0$ in contradiction with the assumption $\rho > 0$. Hence, we must choose the sign "-" only. Then, taking into account (25) we find $r = N' = c^{-1} \sin cx$ that corresponds to a sphere. Thus, type O is possible for a sphere only. Otherwise, Petrov type is D.

Meanwhile, even for a sphere type D is possible as well if the horizon is absent. Indeed, for a sphere $R_{||} = \frac{2}{r_0^2}$, whence it follows from (60) that $B = 2r_0^{-2} \frac{a}{a - \cos \theta}$. In the subcase a) discussed above $a \neq 0$, so $B \neq 0$ and the type is D. In the subcase b) $a = 0$ and $B = 0$, so we have type O.

We obtained that the gravitational field can be of type O everywhere only for a sphere. However, if we allow the type to change within the manifold, one cannot exclude combinations of both types in different regions. Then in the "normal" region $\lambda > 0$, $B > 0$ and only

type D is possible. In the "phantom" region where $\lambda < 0$, in principle both cases D and O are possible.

B. Truly naked horizons

It is worth paying attention to the asymptotic behavior of Weyl scalar near the horizon, where $N \rightarrow 0$, $r' \rightarrow 0$. The quantity $B \sim \rho + p_{\perp} \rightarrow 0$ near the non-extremal horizon [33]. However, it follows from the definition of s (42) that the factors $\cosh s$ and $\sinh s$ diverge like N^{-1} . As a result, all $\psi's$ (77) behave like $\frac{B}{N^2}$ and we have the competition of two factors. Consider, for example, the case of the linear equation of state (44) with $\alpha < 1$. This constraint on the value of α ensures, as we showed, the regularity of the horizon. Then it follows from (26), (29) and (56) that near the horizon $\frac{B}{N^2} \sim (r_0^2 - r^2)^{\varepsilon}$, where $\varepsilon = \frac{1-3\alpha}{1+\alpha}$. Thus, if we take $\frac{1}{3} < \alpha < 1$, all $\psi's$ diverge on the horizon but the geometry remains regular there.

It was observed recently [41] that in spacetimes with static Killing horizons it may happen that in the free-falling frame some components of the Riemann and Weyl tensor diverge on the horizon although the Kretschmann invariant is finite. Such objects were called "truly naked black holes" (TNBH) to distinguish them from just "naked black holes" (NBH) considered in [42], [43] where some components of the Riemann tensor were enhanced on the horizon but remained finite. The difficulty in finding explicit examples of TNBH consists in that the corresponding metrics cannot be spherically symmetric whereas this is possible for NBH. In this respect, in the present work we have managed to find "truly naked horizons" explicitly with the reservation that, as we saw, now they are cosmological. It is worth reminding that the equation of state $p = -\alpha\rho$ with $\alpha > \frac{1}{3}$ is nothing else than the so called dark energy. In our case, due to the anisotropy, the matter is dark (but not phantom) with respect to $p_{\perp}$ since $p_{\perp} + \rho > 0$ and phantom with respect to n-direction ($p_{\parallel} + \rho < 0$).

C. Case 1

Now let us discuss briefly case 1 that is more easy. It follows directly from eqs. (10), (27), (30), (32) of Ref. [41] that in the static frame with the choice of tetrads (72) with $K_{ab} = 0$

and $N_{;a} = 0$ Weyl scalars $\psi_0 = \psi_1 = \psi_3 = \psi_4 = 0$, while

$$\psi_2 = -\frac{R_{\parallel} + R_{\perp}}{12}. \quad (84)$$

Taking into account (11), it can be rewritten as $\psi_2 = -\frac{C}{12}$, $C = R_{\parallel} - 2\lambda$. It is worth noting that, according to (74), (84), λ enters C and B with the opposite signs.

When we are making a local boost along n direction to pass from the static frame to the free falling one, the Weyl scalars transform in the standard way according to $\psi_0 \rightarrow z^2\psi_0$, $\psi_1 \rightarrow z\psi_1$, $\psi_2 \rightarrow \psi_2$, $\psi_3 \rightarrow z^{-1}\psi_3$, $\psi_4 \rightarrow z^{-2}\psi_4$, where $z = \exp(-s)$. Therefore, in the case under discussion all ψ' s, possibly except ψ_2 , vanish in any frame. Thus, there are no TNBH horizons since none of ψ' s diverges. Petrov Type is D, if $C \neq 0$ and O, if $C = 0$.

IX. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN 1+1, 2+1 AND 3+1 THEORIES

The form of the metric (24) means that, actually, our 4D system is reduced to 2+1 gravity. For the particular cases such relationship was discussed earlier. It was observed that one can generate 3+1 cosmic strings from 2+1 point particles source (see [39] and references therein). In a similar way, one may generate a black string from the BTZ black hole [44], [47], [48] (in our case 3+1 black string is generated by a cosmological horizon), provided the 3+1 stress-energy tensor has a certain form [45], [46]. In doing so, one obtains a cylindrical or toroidal 3+1 system depending on whether the variable n changes in an infinite range or points n and $n + L$ (L is some constant) should be identified. However, it is worth stressing that in our approach the line element (24) was not taken *a priori*. It followed from (i) the condition $K_{ab} = 0$, (ii) the (na) Einstein equations, (iii) the assumption that N does not depend on n . In doing so, the stress-energy tensor (7) is anisotropic in the 3+1 world but its reduced form in the 2+1 subspace corresponds to the perfect fluid with the pressure $p_{\perp}$. If $p_{\perp} < 0$, this implies that the 2+1 version of the strong energy condition is violated [39] and so is its 3+1 counterpart because of (16). However, the situation with NEC may be quite different for the 3+1 and 2+1 versions. For example, if $p_{\perp} < 0$ but $p_{\perp} + \rho > 0$, the 2+1 version of NEC is satisfied but its 3+1 counterpart is certainly violated due to (16). In other words, 2+1 tension stars [38] generate in our case 3+1 phantom matter.

As far as classification of gravitational field is concerned, it is worth noting that although the Weyl tensor vanishes in 3D spacetimes, the fact that our 2+1 metric is obtained from

the 3+1 one enabled us to implement the standard Petrov classification directly on the basis of 4D Weyl tensor.

The above consideration is generalized easily to the case of the non-zero cosmological constant Λ . Then we must replace in formulas $p_{\perp} \rightarrow p_{\perp}^{(tot)} = p_{\perp}^{(m)} + p^{(\Lambda)}$, $\rho \rightarrow \rho^{(tot)} = \rho^{(m)} + \rho^{(\Lambda)}$, where $\rho^{(m)}$ and $p^{(m)}$ is the contribution of matter, $\rho^{(\Lambda)} = \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi}$, $p^{(\Lambda)} = -\frac{\Lambda}{8\pi}$. Then eq. (16) is replaced by $\rho^{(tot)} + p_{\parallel}^{(tot)} = \rho^{(m)} + p_{\parallel}^{(m)} = 2p_{\perp}^{(tot)}$. If $-\rho^{(m)} < p_{\perp}^{(m)} < -p^{(\Lambda)}$, again the 2+1 version of NEC is satisfied but the 3+1 one is not. The connection established in previous sections (where we assumed $\Lambda = 0$) between the sign of the pressure $p_{\perp}$ and the properties of the topology (including the presence of the horizon) is valid if $\rho_{tot} > 0$. When $\rho_{tot} < 0$, the situation may change. For instance, if there is no matter at all and $\Lambda = -\nu^2 < 0$, one can obtain known 2+1 BTZ black holes [47], [48] for which $p_{tot} > 0$, $\rho_{tot} < 0$, the r - ϕ manifold is open. Then it follows that $p_{\parallel}^{(tot)} = \frac{3\nu^2}{8\pi}$ in accordance with [46].

Properties of matter distribution in 2+1 spacetime without horizons (stellar configurations) were studied in [37], [38], [49] on the basis of the line element written in somewhat different form:

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{V} + r^2 d\phi^2, \quad (85)$$

where $V = f^{-1} = \left(\frac{dr}{dx}\right)^2$. To establish correspondence between (85) and (53) with $n = const$, one can observe that it follows from (27) that

$$V = C - \frac{k}{\pi} m(r), \quad k = 8\pi, \quad (86)$$

$$m = 2\pi \int_0^r dr \rho_{tot} r, \quad (87)$$

eqs. (86) and (87) correspond to eq. (4) of [49] (with m instead of μ). Here C is a constant. (If we require the manifold to contain no conical singularities at the origin, $C = 1$.) In a similar way, one can rewrite the expression for the pressure (29) (analogue of eq. (3) of [49]) in the form

$$kp_{\perp} = \frac{\sqrt{V}}{N}, \quad N = \int^r \frac{dr}{\sqrt{V}}. \quad (88)$$

We would like to point out some differences between Refs. [37], [38], [49] and our paper: (i) we include into consideration both non-compact and compact r - ϕ subspaces, (ii) the matter source turns out to be phantom in general while in the aforementioned papers it is assumed that $p > 0$, (iii) they deal with stellar configurations (no horizon is present) while we discuss configurations both with and without horizons.

2+1 metrics can be related not only to 3+1 spacetimes, but also to 1+1 ones. Such a kind of reduction has been carried out in [50] for the negative cosmological constant from BTZ-like solutions to the effective 2D theory (there are also other examples of similar reduction, in particular, for the Chern-Simons term [51], [52]). In our case, however, the presence of matter is essential. If the line element (24) is taken from the very beginning, it is instructive to perform the reduction to 2D theory from 4D one directly. By substitution of (24) into the Einstein-Hilbert action $S_g = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} R$, dropping total derivatives and boundary terms we obtain after integration over ϕ and n :

$$S_g = \frac{c}{8} \int d^2x \sqrt{\gamma} R_{\parallel} N. \quad (89)$$

(where $c = \int dn$), while the matter action

$$S_m = 2\pi c \int d^2x \sqrt{\gamma} N L, \quad (90)$$

where L is the matter Lagrangian. By definition of the stress-energy tensor, we have the 4D formula $\delta S_m = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} T_{\alpha\beta} \delta g^{\alpha\beta}$. The variation of S_g with respect to γ_{ab} is calculated according to

$$\delta \int d^2x \sqrt{\gamma} R_{\parallel} N = \int d^2x \sqrt{\gamma} G_{ab}^{eff} \delta \gamma^{ab}, \quad (91)$$

where $G_{ab}^{eff} = \gamma_{ab} \Delta_2 N - N_{;a;b}$. We should also take into account that in the case under discussion $T_{\alpha\beta}$ is given by eq. (7). Then one obtains easily that the variation of the total action with respect to γ_{ab} gives us eqs. (17), while the variation with respect to N gives eq. (10).

Thus, the effective 2D action of our theory is represented by the sum of two parts. The action (89) is the analogue of the gravitation-dilaton action with the "dilaton" N , while the matter action is given by (90). In contrast to standard string-inspired 2D dilaton gravity models like [53], now (89) contains neither kinetic nor potential term. Apart from this, now the 2D theory is not pure gravitation-dilaton one since it has non-trivial contents only with the matter contribution (90) taken into account.

One can try to exploit another kind of 2+1+1 decomposition for the metric (24) but the result is similar. Rewriting the metric in the form $ds^2 = h_{ij} dx^i dx^j + dn^2 + r^2(x) d\phi^2$ with the static 2D metric h_{ij} ($i, j = 0, 1$) one can choose r as a dilaton instead of N . Then the curvature $R = R_2 - 2 \frac{\Delta_2 r}{r}$, where now R_2 and Δ_2 refer to the metric h_{ij} . In the coordinates (24) $R_2 = -2N^{-1} \frac{d^2 N}{dx^2}$. Carrying out integration over n and ϕ and omitting total derivatives,

one again arrives at the gravitation-dilaton effective Lagrangian which has the form (89) with $R_{\parallel}$ replaced by R_2 and N replaced by r . It is straightforward to check that variation with respect to h_{ij} reproduces eqs. (27) and (29).

X. GENERAL CASE

In this section we discuss case 3, when the lapse function (8) depends both on n and x^a . Repeating all computations (we again assume for simplicity that $\Lambda = 0$), we obtain that eqs. (10), (13) and (17) still hold but now eq. (18) changes to

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta_2 N}{N} = 8\pi p_{\perp} - \frac{1}{N} \frac{d^2 N}{dn^2}, \quad (92)$$

so that

$$N_{2;a;b} = \frac{\Delta_2 N}{2} \gamma_{ab}, \quad (93)$$

whence, again, it follows that the 2D metric takes the form (23) with $N_2 = N_2(x)$ having the same form (28). It is seen from (92) that

$$\frac{\Delta_2 N}{2} + \frac{d^2 N_1}{dn^2} = 8\pi p_{\perp} [N_1(n) + N_2(x)]. \quad (94)$$

Consider, as an example, the case of a constant pressure $p_{\perp}$. Then, isolating in eq. (94) parts depending on x and n , we obtain that $\frac{d^2 N_1}{dn^2} - 8\pi p_{\perp} N_1 = C$ and $\frac{\Delta_2 N_2}{2} - 8\pi p_{\perp} N_2 = -C$, where C is a constant, whence $N_1 = -\frac{C}{8\pi p_{\perp}} + M_1(n)$, $N_2 = \frac{C}{8\pi p_{\perp}} + M_2(x)$. Here $M_1(n)$ is the solution of eq. (11) and $M_2(x)$ is the solution of (18). Let $8\pi\rho = \kappa^2 = \text{const}$, then, by analogy with (62), we find the solution with $p_{\perp} = -\rho$ and,

$$r = \frac{\sin \kappa x}{\kappa}, \quad N = A_1 \cos \kappa n - A_2 \cos \kappa x, \quad (95)$$

where A_1 and A_2 are constants. If $A_1 A_2 \neq 0$, the lapse function $N = 0$ at n, x such that $A_1 \cos \kappa n - A_2 \cos \kappa x = 0$. However, as it obeys neither (38) nor (39), (57) the Kretschmann invariant diverges and we have an isotropic singularity instead of a regular horizon. If $A_1 = 0$ we return to case 2 and if $A_2 = 0$ we return to case 1.

It is easy to see that now a regular horizon is impossible in general. Indeed, the finiteness of the Kretschmann invariant requires the finiteness of quantities $\frac{N_{i|j}}{N}$ on the horizon [32], [33]. For our metric it entails the finiteness of $N^{-1} \frac{d^2 N_2}{dx^2} = \frac{r'(x)}{N}$, $N^{-1} \frac{d^2 N_1}{dn^2}$ in the limit $N \rightarrow 0$, where $N = N_1(n) + N_2(x)$. Obviously, this cannot be achieved simultaneously, if both

derivatives $\frac{d^2 N_2}{dx^2}$ and $\frac{d^2 N_1}{dn^2}$ do not vanish identically. One can also see that solutions with equation of state $p_\perp = p_\perp(\rho)$ are impossible at all, if ρ is not constant. Indeed, it is seen from eq. (10) that in this case $\rho = \rho(x)$, $p_\perp = p_\perp(x)$ that is inconsistent with eq. (94).

With a non-zero Λ , one can also obtain for constant density and pressure regular solutions without horizons:

$$N = A_1 \cosh \kappa n + A_2 \cosh \kappa x, \quad r = \frac{\sinh \kappa x}{\kappa}, \quad (96)$$

$8\pi\rho_{tot} = -\kappa^2$, $p_\perp^{(m)} = -\rho^{(m)}$, $\rho^{(m)} = -\frac{\kappa^2 + \Lambda}{8\pi}$. For the positivity of $\rho^{(m)}$ it is necessary that $\Lambda < 0$ and $|\Lambda| > \kappa^2$.

XI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

We considered extrinsically flat static spacetimes, with the two-dimensional metric $\gamma_{ab}(x^2, x^3)$ not depending on a spatial coordinate n , where n and x^2, x^3 being Gauss normal coordinates. It turned out that the spacetimes under discussion are naturally separated to two classes depending whether the gradient of the lapse function points in the direction of foliation or orthogonally to it. If the cosmological constant $\Lambda = 0$, the condition $\rho > 0$ leads to positivity of the curvature $R_\parallel$ in x^2, x^3 manifold for both classes, so that the manifold should be convex. The first class represents direct generalization of BR-like spacetimes to the non-spherical metric γ_{ab} , with the lapse function $N = N(n)$, ρ and $p_\parallel$ depending on coordinates x^2, x^3 and $p_\perp$ depending on n .

The second class consists of spacetimes for which N does not depend on n , so that the original 3+1 system reduces to the direct product of the 2+1 manifold and n -axis, $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ being the Killing vector for the 3+1 metric. It turned out that in this case there exists also an additional Killing vector $\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$ commuting with $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$, ϕ having the meaning of the angular coordinate. For compact 2D $x-\phi$ manifolds ($x = x^2$, $\phi = x^3$) from this class the null energy condition is necessarily violated either on the part of the surface $n = \text{const}$ (if there is no horizon) or on the entire surface (if there is a horizon). The horizon is a cosmological one. For a linear and Chaplygin gas equation of state we obtained exact explicit solutions. Their 3+1 source is necessarily violates the null energy condition, although from the pure 2+1 viewpoint the null energy condition may be satisfied. In the non-compact case null energy condition is respected and, moreover, the transversal pressure $p_\perp > 0$. Thus, there is an intimate connection between the sign of $p_\perp$ and topology of the section $t = \text{const}$, $n = \text{const}$.

There exists also the general class 3 for which the lapse function depends on both x and n . This leads to singular "horizons" but in the absence of a horizon the solution may be regular, as it is seen from the particular example with the uniform density and pressure.

It turned out that within class 2 the horizon may be "truly naked" in the sense that some Weyl scalars diverge on it, although the Kretschmann scalar is finite that gives an explicit example of objects discussed in [41]. No such objects exist in case 1. Petrov type in case 1 is O, if $R_{||} = 2\lambda$ and D if $R_{||} \neq 2\lambda$. For case 2 the "naked" character of the horizon does not prevent implementation of Petrov classification since divergencies completely cancel out each other in the invariants that enter the scheme of classification. In case 2 also only types D or O are possible, the corresponding conditions read $R_{||} = -2\lambda$ and $R_{||} \neq -2\lambda$, respectively. Type O for the entire manifold is possible for a sphere only, which, however, admits also the solution of type D everywhere. If the horizon is absent, the Petrov type is D on the part of manifold where $p_{\perp} > 0$. The results are generalized to the case of non-zero Λ . If $\Lambda < 0$ and $\rho_{tot} < 0$, the curvature $R_{||} < 0$, so the 2D manifold becomes concave.

It is of interest to extend the present results to stationary spacetimes since it would give possibility to take into account rotation in issues discussed in Introduction.

-
- [1] Maldacena J Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** 231 (1998).
 - [2] Witten E Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** 253 (1998).
 - [3] O. B. Zaslavskii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76** 2211 (1996).
 - [4] O. B. Zaslavskii, Phys. Rev. D **56** (1997) 2188. Erratum-ibid. D **59** 069901 (1999).
 - [5] O. B. Zaslavskii, Class.Quant.Grav. **15** 3251 (1998).
 - [6] O. J. C. Dias and J. P. S. Lemos, Phys. Rev. D **68** 104010 (2003).
 - [7] J. Matyjasek, Phys.Rev. D **70** 047504 (2004).
 - [8] N. Dadhich, On product spacetime with 2-sphere of constant curvature, gr-qc/0003026.
 - [9] M. Cardarelli, L. Vanzo and Z. Zerbini, in *Geometrical Aspects of Quantum Fields*, edited by A. A. Bytsenko, A. E. Goncalves and B. M. Pimentel (World Scientific. Singapore, 2001); hep-th/0008136.
 - [10] V. Cardoso, O. J.C. Dias and J. P.S. Lemos, Phys.Rev. D **70** 024002 (2004).
 - [11] Guendelman, Gen. Rel. Grav., **23** 1415 (1991).

- [12] V. Dzhunushaliev, U. Kasper and D. Singleton, Phys.Lett. B **479** (2000) 249; V. Dzhunushaliev, Int.J.Mod.Phys. D **14** 1293 (2005).
- [13] G. Clément and D. Gal'tsov, Phys. Rev. D **63** 124011 (2001).
- [14] G. Clément, Phys. Rev. D **49** 5131 (1994).
- [15] O. Coussaert and M. Henneaux, in the *Black hole, 25 years later*, edited by C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli (World Scientific, Singapore 1998), hep-th/9407181.
- [16] J. Matyjasek and O.B.Zaslavskii, Class. Quant. Grav. **21** 4283 (2004).
- [17] T. Levi-Civita, Rend. Atti Acad. Naz. Lincei, **2** 529 (1917); I. Robinson, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. **7** 351 (1959); B. Bertotti, Phys. Rev. **116** 1331 (1959).
- [18] H. Nariai, Sci. Rep. Tohoku Univ., Ser. 1 **34** 160 (1950); **35** 62 (1951).
- [19] O.B.Zaslavskii, Phys.Lett. B **634** 111 (2006).
- [20] P. O. Mazur and E. Mottola. "Gravitational condensate stars: An alternative to black holes", gr-qc/0109035; "Dark Energy and Condensate Stars: Casimir Energy in the Large", gr-qc/0405111; Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **111** 9545 (2004).
- [21] D. Hochberg and M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D **56** 4745 (1997).
- [22] M. Visser and D. Hochberg, Generic wormhole throats, gr-qc/9710001.
- [23] D. Hochberg and M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D **58** 044021 (1998).
- [24] P. van Nieuwenhuizen and D. V. Vassilevich, Class.Quant.Grav. **22** 5029 (2005).
- [25] A. Reiss et al., Astron. J. **116**, 1009 (1998).
- [26] S. J. Perlmutter et al., Astrophys. J. **517** 565 (1999).
- [27] M. S. Morris and K. S. Thorne, Am. J. Phys. **56** 395 (1988).
- [28] M. S. Visser, *Lorentzian wormholes: From Einstein to Hawking* (AIP Press, New York, 1995).
- [29] S.V. Sushkov, Phys. Rev. D **71** 043520 (2005).
- [30] F. Lobo, Phys. Rev. D **71** 084011 (2005); **71** 124022 (2005).
- [31] O. B. Zaslavskii, Phys. Rev. D **72** 061303 (2005).
- [32] W Israel Phys. Rev. **164** (1967) 1776
- [33] A. J. M. Medved, D. Martin and M. Visser, Class. Quant. Grav. **21** 3111 (2004).
- [34] A. Y. Kamenshchik, U. Moschella and V. Pasquier, Phys. Lett. B **511** 265 (2001).
- [35] M. C. Bento, O. Bertolami and A. A. Sen, Phys. Rev. D **66** 043507 (2002).
- [36] F. S. N. Lobo, Phys.Rev. D **73** 064028 (2006).
- [37] N. J. Cornish and N. E. Frankel, Phys. Rev. D **43** 2555 (1991).

- [38] N. J. Cornish and N. E. Frankel, Phys. Rev. D **47** 714 (1993).
- [39] J. D. Barrow, A. B. Burd and D. Lancaster, Class. Quant. Grav. **3** 551 (1986).
- [40] Stephani H, Kramer D, Maccallum M, Hoenselaers C and Herlt, *E Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2003).
- [41] V. Pravda and O. B. Zaslavskii, Class. Quant. Grav. **22** 5053 (2005).
- [42] G. T. Horowitz and S. F. Ross, Phys. Rev. D **56** 2180 (1997).
- [43] G. T. Horowitz and S. F. Ross, Phys. Rev. D **57** 1098 (1998).
- [44] N. Kaloper, Phys. Rev. D **48** 4658 (1993).
- [45] J. P. S. Lemos and V. T. Zanchin, Phys.Rev. D **54** 3840 (1996).
- [46] J. P. S. Lemos and V. T. Zanchin, Phys. Rev. D **53** 4684 (1996).
- [47] M Bañados, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** 1849 (1992).
- [48] M. Bañados, M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli Phys. Rev. D **48** 1506 (1993).
- [49] N. Cruz and J. Zanelli, Class. Quantum Grav. **12** 975 (1995).
- [50] A. Achúcarro and M. Ortiz, Phys. Rev. D **48** 3600 (1993).
- [51] D. Grumiller and W. Kummer, Annals Phys. **308** 211 (2003).
- [52] G. Guralnik, A. Iorio and R. Jackiw, S.-Y. Pi, Annals Phys. **308** 222 (2003).
- [53] C. G. Callan, S. Giddings, J. A. Harvey and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D **45** R1005 (1992).